



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/77286286 08/18/99 RUMSEY В MICT-0050-US EXAMINER MM91/0110 TROP PRUNER HU & MILES PC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100 3 HOUSTON TX 77024 2841 01/10/01 DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on ______ This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _______ month(s), _______ days from the date of this letter. Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. 1. Claims are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above, claims ____ have been cancelled. 3. Claims 4. Claims ____ 5. Claims _____ 6. Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _______. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 are ______ acceptable; ____ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings _____. has (have) been approved by the 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ______ examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ____ 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. ______; filed on _____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

2

Serial Number: 09/377286

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a product, classified in class 174, subclass 260.
 - II. Claims 22-30, drawn to a method, classified in class 228, subclass 180.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by placement of the solder in alignment instead of causing it to move into alignment.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Furthermore, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

species a defined by figure 1,

species b defined by figure 3,

Serial Number: 09/377286

Art Unit: 2841

species c defined by figure 5,

species d defined by figure 7 and

species e defined by figure 9.

- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

 Currently, no claims are generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Mr. Trop on 12/22/00 to request an oral election to the above

Serial Number: 09/377286

Art Unit: 2841

restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Closing

Any inquiries related to the examination of this application should be directed to Ex. K. Cuneo at (703) 308-1233 or her supervisor Ex. J Gaffin at (703) 308-3301. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the receptionist of Group 2800 at (703) 308-0956. The fax numbers for Group 2800 are (703) 305-7722 and 7724.

K. Cuneo

Patent Examiner Group 2841

December 22, 2000